

To: Bauer, Sarah[Bauer.Sarah@epa.gov]; Cooper, Geoff[Cooper.Geoff@epa.gov]
From: Scalise, Laura
Sent: Wed 8/9/2017 7:59:45 PM
Subject: Re: note to Elise

Other Water Gen participants are Ed Russo and Avi Peretz.

From: Bauer, Sarah
Sent: Wednesday, August 9, 2017 3:46:25 PM
To: Cooper, Geoff; Scalise, Laura
Subject: RE: note to Elise

Zach Fenster

Liat Barrellie

That's all I have from Laura's Invitation

Sarah

Sarah Bauer
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

ORD, OARS, EMD, PMB
Federal Technology Transfer Act (FTTA) Program Coordinator
<http://www.epa.gov/ftta>

Federal Technology Transfer Act (FTTA) | US EPA



202-564-3267

Courier Address
Sarah Bauer
U. S. Environmental Protection Agency MC 8106R

Ronald Reagan Building Room 51117
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
Washington, DC 20004
202-564-3267

From: Cooper, Geoff
Sent: Wednesday, August 09, 2017 3:37 PM
To: Scalise, Laura <Scalise.Laura@epa.gov>; Bauer, Sarah <Bauer.Sarah@epa.gov>
Subject: note to Elise

Before I send this, could you two take a look at it and make sure I'm not missing anything, or whether my impression was wrong, right, somewhere in between? If anyone has the full names, that would help (I couldn't find them). Thanks.

Laura, Sarah and I had a conference call this morning with U.S. and Tel Aviv based WaterGen officials. Although the call was ostensibly about the legal language of the CRADA itself, it felt more like the discussion that Jay Garland and Ardra Morgan described in last week's phone call.

The company counsel (Liat?) first questioned why the agreement we propose for this arrangement, a Cooperative Research and Development Agreement, devoted so much ink to the treatment of IP developed under the CRADA and the allocation of IP rights. In her view, a lengthy IP section was not necessary because WaterGen was simply providing us a developed product and EPA's role was to perform services for the company – to test its equipment and report back the results, including how the equipment should be altered to comply with our drinking water regulations (or, how the drinking water regulations should accommodate WaterGen's product. The transmission wasn't real clear).

We explained that a Cooperative Research and Development Agreement was one of the few ways in which an EPA laboratory can work with an outside party, and that it was important that our undertaking with WaterGen be consistent with the CRADA authority, the Federal Tech Transfer Act. The FTTA envisions that, at the least, there will actually be cooperative research by the parties. Laura pointed out that the draft work statement called for an analysis of contaminants in the water produced, followed by a life cycle analysis that will consume some time – activities that assume there will be some degree of cooperative research.

WaterGen technical staff will be in Cincinnati next week, apparently with one or more of the devices, to iron out the work statement. We are preparing a Material Transfer Agreement (an abridged CRADA so that we can accept equipment for research purposes under the FTTA) to allow that to happen. In the meantime, the WaterGen counsel is preparing a mark-up of the CRADA which, we hope, shows that the company has a better understanding of how the process works.

Geoff Cooper

Assistant General Counsel

Intellectual Property Law Practice Group

(202) 564-5451